FEKETE-SZEGÖ PROBLEM FOR CONCAVE UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS DEFINED BY SĂLĂGEAN OPERATOR

Alawiah Ibrahim

School of Engineering and Science
Victoria University
P.O. Box 14428, Melbourne City, MC 8001
Australia
School of Mathematical Sciences
Faculty of Science and Technology
Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia
43600 Bangi, Selangor
Malaysia
e-mail: alawiah.ibrahim@live.vu.edu.au

Maslina Darus[1]

School of Mathematical Sciences
Faculty of Science and Technology
Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia
43600 Bangi, Selangor
Malaysia
e-mail: maslina@ukm.my

Sever S. Dragomir

School of Engineering and Science
Victoria University
P.O. Box 14428, Melbourne City, MC 8001
Australia
e-mail: alawiah.ibrahim@live.vu.edu.au
School of Computational and Applied Mathematics
University of Witwatersrand
Private Bag-3, Wits-2050, Johannesburg
South Africa
e-mail: sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au
url: http://www.staff.vu.edu.au/rgmia/dragomir

Abstract. Let $C_0(\alpha)$ denote the class of concave univalent functions defined in the open unit disk U. In this paper, we investigate the sharp upper bounds of Fekete-Szegö functional with real and complex parameter λ for the class of concave univalent functions defined by Sălăgean differential operator.

Keywords: Concave, Univalent function, Sălăgean differential operator.
2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45, 30C10.

---

[1]Corresponding author.

1. Introduction

Let S denote the class of all analytic and univalent functions

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \tag{1.1}$$

defined on the open unit disk $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$.

Denote by $S^*(\beta)$, $C(\beta)$ and $K(\alpha, \beta)$, the classes of starlike functions of order β, convex functions of order β and close-to-convex functions of order α type β respectively, which are analytically defined as follows:

(i) $S^*(\beta) = \left\{ f \in A : \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right) > \beta,\ z \in U,\ 0 \leq \beta < 1 \right\}$,

(ii) $C(\beta) = \left\{ f \in A : \operatorname{Re}\left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right) > \beta,\ z \in U,\ 0 \leq \beta < 1 \right\}$,

(iii) $$K(\alpha, \beta) = \left\{ f \in A : \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{f'(z)}{g'(z)}\right) > \alpha,\ g(z) \in C(\beta), \right. \\ \left. z \in U, 0 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 \leq \beta < 1 \right\}.$$

In 1933, Fekete and Szegö [19] obtained the maximum value of $|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2|$ as a function of the real parameter λ, namely

$$\left|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2\right| \leq 1 + 2\exp\left(\frac{-2\lambda}{1-\lambda}\right),$$

for the class S of analytic and univalent functions given by (1.1). This inequality is sharp for each $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. In the literature, there exists a large number of results of the Fekete-Szegö functional $|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2|$ for various subclasses of S, such as the class of $S^*(\beta), C(\beta)$ and $K(\alpha, \beta)$. For instance, Keogh and Merkers [10], Kaplan [26], Koepf [27] solved the Fekete-Szegö problem for close-to-convex functions. Nasr and Gawad [20], Gawad and Thomas [12], Darus and Thomas [18], Ibrahim and Darus [4] and others generalized this result for the class of functions that are close-to-convex functions of order α and type β. Later, Avkhadiev et al. [8], [9] and Bhowmik et al. [5], [6], they gave another treatment of Fekete-Szegö problem by considering the class of concave univalent functions given by (1.1).

Also, there are several authors that proved this type of result for the Fekete-Szegö functional for the class of function defined by differential operator, see [16], [3], for example, by using the Sălăgean differential operator D^k [11], for $f \in S$ which is defined by

(i) $D^0 f(z) = f(z)$,

(ii) $D^1 f(z) = Df(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n a_n z^n$,

(iii) $D^k f(z) = D\left(D^{k-1} f(z)\right) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^k a_n z^n;\ k = 1, 2, \ldots$.

Denote by S_k^*, the class of k-starlike functions which is analytically defined as follows:

$$S_k^* = \left\{ f(z) \in S : \mathrm{Re}\left(\frac{D^{k+1} f(z)}{D^k f(z)}\right) > 0,\ k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots,\ z \in U \right\}. \tag{1.2}$$

In this paper, we investigated the sharp upper bounds of Fekete-Szegö functional $|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2|$ for the class of concave univalent functions with real and complex parameter λ, where the function of f is defined by Sălăgean differential operator (1.2).

2. Preliminary results

A function $f : U \to \mathbb{C}$ is said to belong to the family $C_0(\alpha)$ if f satisfies the following conditions:

(a) f is analytic in U with the standard normalization $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$. In addition it satisfies $f(1) = \infty$.

(b) f maps conformally onto a set whose complement with respect to C is convex.

(c) The opening angle of $f(U)$ at ∞ is less than or equal to $\pi\alpha$, $\alpha \in (1, 2]$.

The class $C_0(\alpha)$ is referred to concave univalent functions and for a detailed discussion about concave functions we refer to [8], [9], [17] and the references therein. Recently, the class $C_0(\alpha)$ of concave function was considered by Bhowmik et al. [5], [6].

We recall the analytic characterization for the functions in $C_0(\alpha)$, $\alpha \in (1, 2]$: $f \in C_0(\alpha)$ if and only if $\mathrm{Re} P_f(z) > 0$, $z \in U$, where

$$P_f(z) = \frac{2}{\alpha - 1}\left[\frac{(\alpha + 1)}{2}\frac{1 + z}{1 - z} - 1 - z\frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)}\right].$$

In [5], [6] they used this characterization and proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1 *Let $\alpha \in (1, 2]$. A function $f \in C_0(\alpha)$ if, and only if, there exist a starlike function $\phi \in S^*$ such that $f(z) = \Lambda_\phi(z)$ where*

$$\Lambda_\phi(z) = \int_0^z \frac{1}{(1 - t)^{\alpha+1}} \left(\frac{t}{\phi(t)}\right)^{(\alpha-1)/2} dt$$

and S^* denote the family of starlike functions g defined by $g \in S^*$ if and only if $Re\left(\frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)}\right) > 0$.

The objective of the present paper is to give some generalizations of the result of Fekete-Szegö problem given by Bhowmik et al. [5] for the starlike function defined by Sălăgean differential operator $D^k f$, $k = 0, 1, 2, ...$, which is $f \in S_k^*$ is characterized by the condition (1.2).

In order to prove our main results, we need to recall the following lemma.

Lemma 1 [27] *Let* $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n \in S^*$. *Then* $|b_3 - \lambda b_2^2| \leq \max\{1, |3 - 4\lambda|\}$, *which is sharp for the Koebe function* k *if* $|\lambda - 3/4| \geq 1/4$ *and for* $(k(z))^{1/2} = \dfrac{z}{1 - z^2}$ *if* $|\lambda - 3/4| \leq 1/4$.

3. Main result and its proof

We consider the Fekete-Szegö functional $|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2|$ for real and complex parameter λ. Our results are contained in the following theorems.

Theorem 1 *Let* $f \in C_0(\alpha)$ *have the expansion given by* (1.1), $\alpha \in (1, 2]$, $k = 0, 1, 2, ...$. *If* λ *is real, then we have*

$$12\left|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2\right| \leq \begin{cases} \left(3 + 2^{2k}\right)(2 - 3\lambda)\alpha^2 \\ \quad + 3\left(1 - 2^{2k}\right)(1 - 2\alpha)\lambda \\ \quad + 6\left(1 - 3^k\right)\alpha + 2\left(3^{k+1} - 2^{2k}\right), & \text{if } \lambda \leq \lambda_0; \\[2ex] 4\left[(2 - 3\lambda)\alpha^2 + 1\right], & \text{if } \lambda_0 \leq \lambda \leq \dfrac{2(\alpha - 1)}{3\alpha}; \\[2ex] \dfrac{4\left[(10 - 9\lambda)\alpha + (2 - 3\lambda)\right]}{3(2 - \lambda) - (2 - 3\lambda)\alpha}, & \text{if } \dfrac{2(\alpha - 1)}{3\alpha} \leq \lambda \leq \dfrac{2}{3}; \\[2ex] 12(1 - \lambda)\alpha\sqrt{\frac{12(1-\lambda)}{(4-3\lambda)^2 - (3\lambda-2)^2\alpha^2}}, & \text{if } \frac{2}{3} \leq \lambda \leq \lambda_2; \\[2ex] 4\left[(3\lambda - 2)\alpha^2 - 1\right], & \text{if } \lambda_2 \leq \lambda \leq \dfrac{2(\alpha + 2)}{3(\alpha + 1)}; \\[2ex] \left(3 + 2^{2k}\right)(3\lambda - 2)\alpha^2 \\ \quad + 3\left(2^{2k} - 1\right)(1 - 2\alpha)\lambda \\ \quad + 6\left(3^k - 1\right)\alpha + 2\left(2^{2k} - 3^{k+1}\right), & \text{if } \lambda \geq \dfrac{2(\alpha + 2)}{3(\alpha + 1)}; \end{cases}$$

where

$$\lambda_0 = \frac{2^{2k-2}(\alpha + 1) - 3^k}{3\left(2^{2k-3}\right)(\alpha - 1)} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_2 = \frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{6\alpha^2}\left(\sqrt{8\alpha^2 + 1} - 1\right).$$

The inequalities are sharp.

Theorem 2 *Let $f \in C_0(\alpha)$ have the expansion given by (1.1), $\alpha \in (1,2]$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$. If λ are complex numbers, then we have*

$$\left|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2\right| \leq \max\left\{1,\ \frac{1}{12}(\alpha+1)\,\nu(\alpha,\lambda)\ \right\},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\nu(\alpha,\lambda) &= |(2-3\lambda)(\alpha+1)+2| + 2(\alpha-1)|3\lambda-2| \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha+1}\right)|6+[2-3(\alpha-1)\lambda]|.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We recall from Theorem 1 that for $f \in C_0(\alpha)$ if and only if there exist a function $\phi(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \phi_n z^n \in S_k^*$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ such that

$$f'(z) = \frac{1}{(1-z)^{\alpha+1}}\left(\frac{z}{D^n\phi(z)}\right)^{(\alpha-1)/2}, \tag{3.1}$$

where f has the form given by (1.1) and D^n is the Sălăgean operator. Comparing the coefficients of z and z^2 on the both sides of the series expansion (3.1), we obtain that

$$a_2 = \frac{(\alpha+1)}{2} - 2^{k-2}(\alpha-1)\phi_2$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}a_3 &= \frac{1}{6}(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2) - \frac{2^{k-1}}{3}\left(\alpha^2-1\right)\phi_2 \\ &\quad - \frac{3^{k-1}}{2}(\alpha-1)\phi_3 + \frac{2^{2k-3}}{3}(\alpha-1)\phi_2^2,\end{aligned}$$

respectively.

A computation yields that

$$\begin{aligned}a_3 - \lambda a_2^2 &= \frac{(\alpha+1)^2}{4}\left[\frac{2(\alpha+2)}{3(\alpha+1)} - \lambda\right] \\ &\quad + 2^{k-2}\left(\alpha^2-1\right)\left(\lambda - \frac{2}{3}\right)\phi_2 - \frac{3^{k-1}}{2}(\alpha-1) \\ &\quad \times \left[\phi_3 - \left(\frac{2^{2k-2}(\alpha+1) - 3\lambda\left(2^{2k-3}\right)(\alpha-1)}{3^k}\right)\phi_2^2\right]\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Now, we need to investigate the maximum values of the function $|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2|$ by considering several cases of λ.

Case 1: Consider the first case for all $\lambda \leq \dfrac{2^{2k-2}(\alpha+1) - 3^k}{3\left(2^{2k-3}\right)(\alpha-1)}$.

We observe that the assumption on λ is seen to be equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{3^k}\left[2^{2k-2}(\alpha+1) - 3\lambda\left(2^{2k-3}\right)(\alpha-1)\right] \geq 1$$

and the first term in equation (3.2) is nonnegative. Hence, using the Lemma 1 for the last term in (3.2), we have

$$\left|\phi_3 - \left(\frac{2^{2k-2}(\alpha+1) - 3\lambda\left(2^{2k-3}\right)(\alpha-1)}{3^k}\right)\phi_2^2\right| \\ \leq \frac{2^{2k}(\alpha+1) - 3\lambda\left(2^{2k-1}\right)(\alpha-1)}{3^k} - 3$$

and noticing that for $\phi \in S_k^*$, $|\phi_n| \leq n^{1-k}$, $k = 2, 3, \ldots$, we have from the equality (3.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2\right| &\leq \frac{(\alpha+1)^2}{4}\left[\frac{2(\alpha+2)}{3(\alpha+1)} - \lambda\right] + 2^{k-2}\left(\alpha^2-1\right)\left(\frac{2}{3} - \lambda\right)|\phi_2| \\ &+\frac{3^{k-1}}{2}(\alpha-1)\left|\phi_3 - \left(\frac{2^{2k-2}(\alpha+1) - 3\lambda\left(2^{2k-3}\right)(\alpha-1)}{3^k}\right)\phi_2^2\right| \\ &= \frac{(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)}{6} - \frac{\lambda}{4}(\alpha+1)^2 + \frac{\left(\alpha^2-1\right)}{2}\left(\frac{2}{3} - \lambda\right) \\ &+\frac{3^{k-1}}{2}(\alpha-1)\left(\frac{2^{2k}(\alpha+1) - 3\lambda\left(2^{2k-1}\right)(\alpha-1)}{3^k} - 3\right). \end{aligned}$$

It can be simplified to

$$\begin{aligned} \left|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2\right| &\leq \frac{1}{12}\left[\left(3 + 2^{2k}\right)(2 - 3\lambda)\alpha^2 + 3\left(1 - 2^{2k}\right)(1 - 2\alpha)\lambda \right. \\ &\left. +6\left(1 - 3^k\right)\alpha + 2\left(3^{k+1} - 2^{2k}\right)\right], \end{aligned}$$

for $\lambda \in \left(\infty, \dfrac{2^{2k-2}(\alpha+1) - 3^k}{3\left(2^{2k-3}\right)(\alpha-1)}\right)$.

Case 2: Let $\lambda \geq \dfrac{2(\alpha+2)}{3(\alpha+1)}$.

For this case, the first term in (3.2) is nonnegative. The condition on λ in particular gives $\lambda \geq \dfrac{2}{3}$ and therefore our assumption on λ implies that

$$\frac{2^{2k-2}(\alpha+1) - 3\lambda\left(2^{2k-3}\right)(\alpha-1)}{3^k} \leq \frac{2^{2k}}{3^k}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right).$$

Again, it follows from Lemma 1, that

$$\left|\phi_3 - \frac{2^{2k-2}(\alpha+1) - 3\lambda\left(2^{2k-3}\right)(\alpha-1)}{3^k}\right|\phi_2^2 \leq 3 - \frac{2^{2k}(\alpha+1) - 3\lambda\left(2^{2k-1}\right)(\alpha-1)}{3^k}.$$

In view of these observation and an use of the inequality that $|\phi_2| \le 2^{1-k}$, equality (3.2) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \left|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2\right| &\le \frac{(\alpha+1)^2}{4}\left[\lambda - \frac{2(\alpha+2)}{3(\alpha+1)}\right] + 2^{k-2}\left(\alpha^2-1\right)\left(\lambda - \frac{2}{3}\right)\left(2^{1-k}\right) \\ &+ \frac{3^{k-1}}{2}(\alpha-1)\left(3 - \frac{2^{2k}(\alpha+1) - 3\lambda\left(2^{2k-1}\right)(\alpha-1)}{3^k}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Thus, simplifying the right hand side expression (3.3), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| \le \frac{1}{12}\big[&\left(3+2^{2k}\right)(3\lambda-2)\alpha^2 + 3\left(2^{2k}-1\right)(1-2\alpha)\lambda \\ &+ 6\left(3^k-1\right)\alpha - 2\left(3^{k+1} - 2^{2k}\right)\big], \end{aligned}$$

for $\lambda \in \left[\frac{2(\alpha+2)}{3(\alpha+1)}, \infty\right)$.

Case 3: Consider λ, where

$$\lambda \in \left(\frac{2^{2k-2}(\alpha+1) - 3^k}{3\left(2^{2k-3}\right)(\alpha-1)}, \frac{2(\alpha+2)}{3(\alpha+1)}\right).$$

Now we deal with the case by using the formulas (3.1) and (3.2) together with the representation formula for $\phi(z) \in S_k^*$. Let us define $w(z)$ by

$$\frac{D^{k+1}\phi(z)}{D^k\phi(z)} = \frac{1+zw(z)}{1-zw(z)}; \quad (w(z) \neq 1) \tag{3.4}$$

where $w : U \to \overline{U}$ is a function analytic in U with the Taylor series

$$w(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n.$$

Comparing the coefficients of z and z^2 in (3.4), we get that

$$\phi_2 = 2^{1-k}c_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_3 = \frac{1}{3^k}\left(c_1 + 3c_0^2\right). \tag{3.5}$$

Inserting these resulting formulas (3.5) into (3.2) yields

$$\begin{aligned} a_3 - \lambda a_2^2 &\le \frac{(\alpha+1)^2}{4}\left[\frac{2(\alpha+2)}{3(\alpha+1)} - \lambda\right] \\ &+ 2^{k-2}\left(\alpha^2-1\right)\left(\lambda - \frac{2}{3}\right)\left(2^{1-k}c_0\right) \\ &+ \frac{3^{k-1}}{2}(\alpha-1)\left[\frac{1}{3^k}\left(c_1 + 3c_0^2\right)\right. \\ &\left. - \left(\frac{2^{2k-2}(\alpha+1) - 3\lambda\left(2^{2k-3}\right)(\alpha-1)}{3^k}\right)\left(2^{2-2k}\right)c_0^2\right] \\ &= A + Bc_0 + Cc_0^2 + Dc_1, \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \frac{1}{6}(\alpha+2)(\alpha+1)-\frac{\lambda}{4}(\alpha+1)^2, \\ B &= \frac{1}{6}\left(\alpha^2-1\right)(3\lambda-2), \\ C &= -\frac{1}{12}(\alpha-1)\left[4-2\alpha+3\lambda(\alpha-1)\right], \\ D &= -\frac{1}{6}(\alpha-1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by using the well known inequalities that $|c_0| \le 1$ and $|c_1| \le 1-|c_0|^2$, from (3.6) we obtain that

$$\left|a_3-\lambda a_2^2\right| \le \left|A+Bc_0+Cc_0^2\right| + \frac{1}{6}(\alpha-1)\left(1-|c_0|^2\right). \tag{3.7}$$

Now, in order to determine the maximum value of (3.7), let $c_0 = re^{i\theta}$, then we consider the quadratic expression

$$\begin{aligned} f(r,\theta) &= |A+Bc_0+Cc_0^2|^2 \\ &= \left(A-Cr^2\right)^2+B^2r^2+2Br\left(A+Cr^2\right)\cos\theta+4ACr^2\cos^2\theta, \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

where $\cos\theta \in [-1,1]$, $r \in (0,1]$. For getting the upper bounds of $|a_3-\lambda a_2^2|$, we have to find the biggest value of (3.8) for r in the interval $(0,1]$. So, let $x=\cos\theta$, then from (3.8) we have

$$h(x) = \left(A-Cr^2\right)^2+B^2r^2+2Br\left(A+Cr^2\right)x+4ACr^2x^2. \tag{3.9}$$

We have to determine the maximum value of (3.9) for $x \in [-1,1]$. So, for this, we need to consider the several subclasses of λ, where

$$\lambda \in \left(\frac{2^{2k-2}(\alpha+1)-3^k}{3\left(2^{2k-3}\right)(\alpha-1)}, \frac{2(\alpha+2)}{3(\alpha+1)}\right).$$

Case 3A: First, consider

$$\lambda \in \left(\frac{2^{2k-2}(\alpha+1)-3^k}{3\left(2^{2k-3}\right)(\alpha-1)}, \frac{2(\alpha-2)}{3(\alpha-1)}\right).$$

We observe that for λ in this interval, we have $A>0$, $B<0$, $C>0$ and $A+Cr^2>0$ for $r \in (0,1]$, and (3.9) attains its maximum value at $x=-1$. Therefore, it gives that

$$\left|a_3-\lambda a_2^2\right| \le g(r) = A-Br+Cr^2+\frac{1}{3}(\alpha-1)\left(1-r^2\right). \tag{3.10}$$

By a simple calculation, we show that the maximum value of (3.10) attains at the boundary of r, i.e. $r=1$. Therefore

$$g(r) \le g(1) = A-B+C = \frac{1}{3}\left[(2-3\lambda)\alpha^2+1\right].$$

Case 3B: Let $\lambda = \dfrac{2(\alpha-2)}{3(\alpha-1)}$.

In this case, we get $C = 0$, therefore $h(x)$ becomes a linear function,

$$h(x) = A^2 + B^2r^2 + 2BrAx. \tag{3.11}$$

It is easy to show that the maximum value of (3.11) occurs at $x = -1$ and $r = 1$. Again we get the maximum value of $|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2|$ as the previous case.

Case 3C: Let $\lambda \in \left(\dfrac{2(\alpha-2)}{3(\alpha-1)}, \dfrac{2(\alpha-1)}{3\alpha} \right)$.

In this interval, the quadratic function (3.9) has maximum value at

$$x(r) = -\frac{B}{4}\left(\frac{1}{Cr} + \frac{r}{A}\right),$$

where $x(r)$ is monotonic increasing in $r \in (0,1]$ and $x(1) < -1$. Hence we get the upper bound as in Cases 3A and 3B. As conclusion, from the Cases 3A, 3B and 3C give us that

$$\left|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2\right| \leq \frac{1}{3}\left[(2-3\lambda)\alpha^2 + 1\right]$$

for

$$\lambda \in \left(\frac{2^{2k-2}(\alpha+1) - 3^k}{3(2^{2k-3})(\alpha-1)}, \frac{2(\alpha-1)}{3\alpha} \right).$$

Case 3D: Let $\lambda \in \left[\dfrac{2(\alpha-1)}{3\alpha}, \dfrac{2}{3} \right)$.

From the Case 3C, the inequality $x(1) < -1$ gives that

$$\frac{2(3\lambda + 4\alpha^2 - 12\alpha^2\lambda + 9\alpha^2\lambda^2 - 4)}{[(3\lambda-4) + \alpha(3\lambda-2)][\alpha(3\lambda-2) - (3\lambda-4)]} < 0,$$

hence it shows that

$$p(\lambda) = 9\alpha^2\lambda^2 + \left(3 - 12\alpha^2\right)\lambda + 4(\alpha^2 - 1\big) < 0$$

where $\lambda < \frac{2}{3}$. Factorizing $p(\lambda)$, we have

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{2}{3} - \frac{1}{6\alpha^2}\left(1 + \sqrt{8\alpha^2+1}\right) \tag{3.12}$$

and

$$\lambda_2 = \frac{2}{3} - \frac{1}{6\alpha^2}\left(1 - \sqrt{8\alpha^2+1}\right). \tag{3.13}$$

It is clear that $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2$. Therefore, for $\lambda \in \left[\dfrac{2(\alpha-1)}{3\alpha}, \lambda_1 \right)$, functions (3.9) and (3.10) have their maximum value at

$$x = -1 \text{ and } r_m = \frac{-3B}{-6C + \alpha - 1} \in (0,1]$$

respectively. Hence the upper bound of Fekete-Szegö functional is given by

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3-\lambda a_2^2| &\leq g(r_m)=A-Br_m+Cr_m^2+\frac{1}{3}(\alpha-1)\left(1-r_m^2\right) \\ &=\frac{4\left[(10-9\lambda)\alpha+(2-3\lambda)\right]}{3(2-\lambda)-(2-3\lambda)\alpha}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Next, we consider for $\lambda \in \left[\lambda_1, \frac{2}{3}\right)$. In this interval, the quadratic equation (3.9) attains its maximum value at

$$x(r)=\frac{-B\left(A+Cr^2\right)}{4ACr}$$

with

$$h(x(r))=-\frac{1}{4AC}\left(B^2-4AC\right)(A-Cr)^2.$$

Hence, the Fekete-Szegö functional satisfies the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3-\lambda a_2^2| &\leq \sqrt{h(x(r))}+\frac{(\alpha-1)}{6}\left(1-r^2\right) \\ &=(A-Cr)\sqrt{1-\frac{B^2}{4AC}}+\frac{(\alpha-1)}{6}\left(1-r^2\right)=k(r). \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

The maximum value of $g(r)$,

$$g(r)=A-Br+Cr^2+\frac{(\alpha-1)}{6}\left(1-r^2\right)$$

and (3.15) occurs at

$$r_m=\frac{-B}{-2C+\frac{(\alpha-1)}{3}} \text{ and } r_0=\frac{B}{2C+\sqrt{1-\frac{B^2}{4AC}}}$$

respectively. It is easy to show that (3.15) is monotonic decreasing for $r \geq r_0$. Hence, the maximum value of $|a_3-\lambda a_2^2|$ is given by (3.14).

For $\lambda=\frac{2}{3}$, we get $B=0$ and $C=\frac{1}{6}(1-\alpha)$. Thus, the maximum value

$$\left|a_3-\lambda a_2^2\right|=\frac{\alpha}{3}, \tag{3.16}$$

occurs at $x=\cos\theta=0$ and $r\in(0,1]$.

From (3.14), (3.15) and (3.16) we concluded that

$$\left|a_3-\lambda a_2^2\right| \leq \frac{4\left[(10-9\lambda)\alpha+(2-3\lambda)\right]}{3(2-\lambda)-(2-3\lambda)\alpha}$$

for $\lambda \in \left[\frac{2(\alpha-1)}{3\alpha}, \frac{2}{3}\right]$.

Case 3E: Let $\lambda \in \left(\frac{2}{3}, \lambda_2\right]$, where λ_2 is given by (3.13).

In this interval, we have $B > 0$. So that (3.9) attains its maximum value at $x = 1$. Then, we consider the function

$$l(r) = h(1) = A + Br + Cr^2 + \frac{(\alpha-1)}{6}\left(1 - r^2\right).$$

Again, by a simple calculation shows that the maximum value of $l(r)$ to be occured at

$$r_n = \frac{B}{-2C + \frac{(\alpha-1)}{3}},$$

hence the maximum of the function (3.15) to be attained at

$$r_1 = \frac{B}{-2C\left(1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{B^2}{4AC}}\right)} \in (0, 1].$$

It is easily to prove that $r_1 < r_n \leq 1$. Since $k(r)$ is monotonic increasing function, then

$$k(r) \leq k(1) = (A - C)\sqrt{1 - \frac{B^2}{4AC}},$$

which gives that

$$\left|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2\right| \leq k(1) = (1-\lambda)\,\alpha\sqrt{\frac{12(1-\lambda)}{(4-3\lambda)^2 - (3\lambda-2)^2\alpha^2}}$$

for $\lambda \in \left(\frac{2}{3}, \lambda_2\right]$.

Case 3F: Finally, we consider the case for $\lambda \in \left(\lambda_2, \frac{2(\alpha+2)}{3(\alpha+1)}\right)$.

For these λ, we see that $A < 0$, $B > 0$, $C < 0$, $A + Cr^2 < 0$ and the maximum value of function (3.7) is attained for $x = -1$, i.e.

$$\eta(x) = -A + Br - Cr^2 + \frac{(\alpha-1)}{6}\left(1 - r^2\right).$$

We get $\eta(r) \leq \eta(1)$ for all λ in these interval and hence

$$\left|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2\right| \leq -A + B - C = \frac{1}{3}\left[(3\lambda - 2)\alpha^2 - 1\right].$$

Thus, the proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

Further, substitute (3.5) into (3.2) yields

$$\begin{aligned} 12\left(a_3 - \lambda a_2^2\right) &= (\alpha+1)\left[(2-3\lambda)(\alpha+1) + 2\right] + 2\left(\alpha^2 - 1\right)(3\lambda - 2)\,c_0 \\ &\quad + (\alpha - 1)\left(6 + \left[2 - 3(\alpha-1)\lambda\right]\right)c_0^2 + 2(1-\alpha)\,c_1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence for λ complex numbers, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
12\left|a_3-\lambda a_2^2\right| &\leq (\alpha+1)\left|(2-3\lambda)(\alpha+1)+2\right| \\
&+2(1-\alpha)\left|c_1\right|+2\left(\alpha^2-1\right)\left|3\lambda-2\right|\left|c_0\right| \\
&+(\alpha-1)\left|6+\left[2-3(\alpha-1)\lambda\right]\right|\left|c_0\right|^2 .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}
$$

Using the well known inequality that $|c_0| \leq 1$ and $|c_1| \leq 1-|c_0|^2$, then from (3.17) we get

$$12\left|a_3-\lambda a_2^2\right| \leq \frac{1}{12}(\alpha+1)\,\nu(\alpha,\lambda)$$

for $\mathrm{Re}\{\nu(\alpha,\lambda)\}>0$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nu(\alpha,\lambda) &= \left|(2-3\lambda)(\alpha+1)+2\right|+2(1-\alpha)\left|3\lambda-2\right| \\
&+\frac{(\alpha-1)}{\alpha+1}\left|6+\left[2-3(\alpha-1)\lambda\right]\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the proof of Theorem 2 is complete. ∎

Remark 1 Taking $k=0$ and λ real numbers, we deduce a result of Bhowmik et al. [5].

Other problems related to Fekete-Szegö functional for further reading can be found in ([1], [2], [7], [13], [14], [15], [21], [22], [23], [24], [25]).

Acknowledgements: The second author is fully supported by LRGS/TD/2011/ UKM/ICT/03/02.

References

[1] Mohammed, A., Darus, M., *On Fekete-Szego problem for certain subclass of analytic functions,* Int. J. Open Problems Compt. Math., 3 (4) (2010), 510-520.

[2] Khaled, A.A., Darus, M., *On the Fekete-Szegö theorem for the generalized Owa-Srivastava operator*, Proceedings of the Romanian Academy-series A: Mathematics, 12 (3)(2011), 179-188.

[3] Catas, A., Oros, G.I., Oros, G., *Differential subordinations associated with multiplier transformation*, Abstract Appl. Anal., ID 845724 (2008), 1-11.

[4] Ibrahim, A., Darus, M., *The Fekete-Szegö theorem: to determine the sharp upper bounds for close-to-convex functions*, Jour. of Inst. Math. & Comp. Sci (Math. Ser.), 14 (3) (2001), 207-216.

[5] BHOWMIK, B., PONNUSAMY, S., WIRTHS, K.-J., *On the Fekete-Szegö problem for concave univalent functions*, Jour. of Math. Analysis & Appl., 373 (2011), 432-438.

[6] BHOWMIK, B., PONNUSAMY, S., WIRTHS, K.-J., *Characterization the pre-Schwarzian norm estimate for concave univalent functions,* Monatsh Math., 161 (2010), 59-75.

[7] ELJAMAL, E.A., DARUS, M., *On Fekete-Szegö problems for certain subclass of analytic functions,* Inter. Jour. Pure and Appl. Math., 71 (4) (2011), 571-580.

[8] AVKHADIEV, F.G., POMMERENKE, S., WIRTHS, K.-J., *Sharp inequalities for coefficient of concave schlicht function*, Comment. Math. Helv., 81 (2006), 801-807.

[9] AVKHADIEV, F.G., K-J. WIRTHS, K.-J., *Concave schlicht functions with bounded opening angle at infinity*, Lobachevskii J., 17 (2005), 3-10.

[10] KEOGH, F.R., MERKERS, E.P., *A coefficient inequality for certain class of analytic functions,* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 20 (1969), 8-12.

[11] SĂLĂGEAN, G.S., *Subclasses of Univalent Function,* Lecture Notes in Math. 1013, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1983), 362-372.

[12] EL-GAWAD, H.R., THOMAS, D.K., *The Fekete-Szegö problem for strongly close-to-convex function,* Math. Japonica, 44 (3) (1996), 8-12.

[13] FAISAL, I., DARUS, M., *On Fekete-Szegö theorem for certain analytic functions,* Journal of Ouality Measurement and Analysis, 5 (1) (2009), 85-91.

[14] AL-SHAQSI, K., DARUS, M., *On Fekete-Szegö problems for certain subclass of analytic functions,* Appl. Math. Sci., 2 (2008), 431-441.

[15] AL-SHAQSI, K., DARUS, M., *Fekete-Szegö problem for univalent functions with respect to k-symmetric points,* The Australian J. Math. Anal. Appl., 5 (2008), 1-12.

[16] BABALOLA, K.O., *Bounds on the coefficients of certain analytic and univalent functions,* Mathematica, Tome 50 (73) (2008), 139-148.

[17] CRUZ, L., POMMERENKE, C., *On concave univalent function,* Complex Var. Elliptic Equ., 52 (2007), 153-159.

[18] DARUS, M., THOMAS, D.K., *On the Fekete-Szegö theorem for close-to-convex functions,* Math. Japonica, 47 (1) (1998), 125-132.

[19] FEKETE, M., SZEGÖ, G., *Eine bemerkung über ungerade Schlichte funktionen,* London Math. Soc., 8 (1993), 85-89.

[20] Nasr, M.A., El-Gawad, H.R., *On the Fekete-Szegö problem for close-to-convex functions of order ρ,* New Trend in Geometric Function Theory and Applications (Madras, 1990), World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ (1991), 66-74.

[21] M.H. Al-Abbadi and M. Darus, The Fekete-Szegö theorem for a certain class of analytic functions, *Sains Malaysiana*, **40**(4) (2011), 385-389.

[22] Al-Abbadi, M.H., Darus, M., *The Fekete-Szegö theorem for certain class of Analytic Functions,* General Mathematics, 19 (3) (2011), 4151.

[23] Tuneski, N., Darus, M., *Fekete-Szegö functional for non-Bazilevich functions,* Acta Mathematica Academiae Paedagogicae Nyiregyhaziensis, 18 (2) (2002), 63-65.

[24] Ramadan, S.F., Darus, M., *A multiplier transformation defined by convolution involving a differential operator,* Int. J. Open Problems Complex Anal., 2 (2) (2010), 2074-2827.

[25] Ramadan, S.F., Darus, M., *On the Fekete-Szeg "o inequality for a class of analytic functions defined by using generalized differential operator,* Acta Universitatis Apulensis, 26 (2011), 167-178.

[26] Kaplan, W., *Close-to-convex schlicht functions,* Michigan Math. J., 1 (1952/53), 169-185

[27] Koepf, W., *On the Fekete-Szegö problem for close-to-convex functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 101 (1987), 420-433.

Accepted: 16.09.2012