

ON A CLASS OF CHINESE HYPERRINGS

Sanja Jančić Rašović

*Department of Mathematics
University of Montenegro
Cetinjski put bb.
Podgorica
Montenegro
e-mail: sabu@t-com.me*

Abstract. In this paper we have shown one construction of the Chinese hyperrings, using the class of multiendomorphisms of the starting ring $(R, +, \cdot)$ or the class of multiendomorphisms of its additive group $(R, +)$.

Key words: hyperring, hypergroup, multiendomorphism.

MSC200. 35A25.

1. Introduction

This paper deals with a class of Chinese hyperrings. Some Chinese mathematicians have developed an interesting theory of HX -groups. P. Corsini [4] showed that from every HX -group, a hypergroupoid is obtained which is, in some cases, a hypergroup (Chinese hypergroup). Similarly, we show that, if we start from the ring $(R, +, \circ)$, then each family $\mathcal{R} \subset P_0(R)$, that satisfies conditions of Theorem 3.1, generates a Chinese hyperring $(\mathcal{R}^*, \hat{\oplus}, \hat{\odot})$. Theorem 3.5 shows that each multiendomorphism of the additive group $(R, +)$, under certain conditions, generates the family $\mathcal{R} \subset P_0(R)$ that satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3.1. So, there exists a class of multiendomorphisms of the additive group $(R, +)$, that generates a class of Chinese hyperrings. Theorem 3.10 shows that between the starting ring $(R, +, \circ)$ and the appropriate Chinese hyperring $(\mathcal{R}^*, \hat{\oplus}, \hat{\odot})$, we can establish a good multihomomorphism.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. A hypergroupoid $(H, \circ)$ is a non-empty set H equipped with a function $\circ : H \times H \rightarrow P^*(H)$ called a hyperoperation, where $P^*(H)$ denotes the set of all non-empty subsets of H . If A, B are subsets of H , then $A \circ B$ denotes the set $\bigcup_{\substack{a \in A \\ b \in B}} a \circ b$.

Definition 2.2.

- 1) An H_v -semigroup is a hypergroupoid $(H, \circ)$ such that:

$$\forall (x, y, z) \in H^3, \quad (x \circ y) \circ z \cap x \circ (y \circ z) \neq \emptyset.$$

- 2) An H_v -semigroup is called an H_v -group if

$$\forall a \in H, \quad H \circ a = a \circ H = H.$$

- 3) A hyperstructure $(H, +, \circ)$ is called an H_v ring if:

- i) $(H, +)$ is an H_v -group.
- ii) $(H, \circ)$ is an H_v -semigroup.
- iii) For all x, y, z in H we have:

$$x \circ (y + z) \cap (x \circ y + x \circ z) \neq \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad (y + z) \circ x \cap (y \circ x + z \circ x) \neq \emptyset.$$

Definition 2.3. A hypergroupoid $\langle H; \circ \rangle$ is called a semihypergroup if:

$$\forall (x, y, z) \in H^3 : (x \circ y) \circ z = x \circ (y \circ z).$$

Definition 2.4. A semi-hypergroup $\langle H; \circ \rangle$ is called a hypergroup (or also a multigroup) if

$$(\forall a \in H) \quad H \circ a = a \circ H = H.$$

The previous axiom is called the *reproduction axiom*.

Definition 2.5. A multivalued system $(R, +, \circ)$ is a hyperring if:

- 1) $(R, +)$ is a hypergroup.
- 2) $(R, \circ)$ is a semihypergroup.
- 3) For all x, y, z in R , we have:

$$(a) \quad x \circ (y + z) \subseteq x \circ y + x \circ z$$

and

$$(b) \quad (y + z) \circ x \subseteq y \circ x + z \circ x.$$

If in the conditions 3a) and 3b) the equality is valid, the hyperring is called *strongly distributive*.

Definition 2.6. Let $(A, +, \cdot)$ and $(B, +', \cdot')$ be two hyperrings. The map $f : A \rightarrow B$ is called an *inclusion homomorphism* if for all $x, y \in A$, the following relations hold:

$$f(x + y) \subseteq f(x) + f(y) \quad \text{and} \quad f(x \cdot y) \subseteq f(x) \cdot f(y).$$

The map f is called a *good homomorphism* if for all $x, y \in A$, we have:

$$f(x + y) = f(x) +' f(y) \quad \text{and} \quad f(x \cdot y) = f(x) \cdot' f(y).$$

Definition 2.7. Let $(A, +, \cdot)$ and $(B, +', \cdot')$ be two hyperrings. The map $\Phi : A \rightarrow P^*(B)$ is called a *multihomomorphism from the hyperring A to the hyperring B* if, for all $x, y \in A$, the following relations hold:

$$1) \quad \bigcup_{u \in x+y} \Phi(u) \subseteq \Phi(x) +' \Phi(y)$$

$$2) \quad \bigcup_{u \in x \cdot y} \Phi(u) \subseteq \Phi(x) \cdot' \Phi(y).$$

If in the conditions 1) and 2) the equality is valid, then the map Φ is called a *good multihomomorphism*.

Let us notice that, if $(A, +, \cdot)$ and $(B, +', \cdot')$ are the rings, then the conditions 1) and 2) means:

$$(\forall x, y \in A) \quad \Phi(x + y) = \Phi(x) +' \Phi(y) \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(xy) = \Phi(x) \cdot' \Phi(y).$$

Let $(G, \cdot)$ be an arbitrary group and $P(G)$ the powerset of G . Under the subset multiplication:

$$(\forall A, B \in P_0(G)) \quad A \cdot B = \{ab \mid a \in A, b \in B\}.$$

$P_0(G) = P(G) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ forms a semigroup which has an identity.

Definition 2.8. [2] A subgroup $(\mathcal{G}, \cdot)$ of $P_0(G)$ is called an *HX-group* on G and $(G, \cdot)$ the *generating group* (or the *support*) of $(\mathcal{G}, \cdot)$. The identity in $(\mathcal{G}, \cdot)$ is denoted by E .

Definition 2.9. [2] Let $(\mathcal{G}, \cdot)$ be an HX-group on $(G, \cdot)$. $(\mathcal{G}, \cdot)$ is called a *regular HX-group* if $e \in E$ (e is the identity of G).

Let $A \in \mathcal{G}$ and A^{-1} be the inverse element of A . The set $A^{(-1)} = \{x^{-1} \mid x \in A\}$ is called the *inverse set* of A .

Definition 2.10. [2] An HX-group $(\mathcal{G}, \cdot)$ is called a *uniform HX-group* if for all $A \in \mathcal{G}$ it holds:

$$A^{-1} = A^{(-1)}.$$

Theorem 2.11. [2] An HX-group $(\mathcal{G}, \cdot)$ is a uniform HX-group iff E is a subgroup of G .

Definition 2.12. [4] Let $(\mathcal{G}, \cdot)$ be an HX -group with $(G, \cdot)$ as support and E as identity. We call a *Chinese hypergroupoid* the hyperstructure $\langle G^*, \widehat{\odot} \rangle$, where $G^* = \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{G}} A$ and

$$\forall (x, y) \in G^* \times G^*, \quad x \widehat{\odot} y = \bigcup_{\substack{x \in A, \ y \in B \\ \{A, B\} \subset \mathcal{G}}} A \cdot B.$$

$$\text{Let } (\forall x \in G^*), \quad \alpha(x) = \{A \mid A \in \mathcal{G}, \ A \ni x\} \text{ and } A(x) = \bigcup_{A \in \alpha(x)} A.$$

Lema 2.13. [4] $\forall (x, y) \in G^* \times G^*$, we have:

$$x \widehat{\odot} y = A(x) \cdot A(y).$$

Theorem 2.14. [4] *The hypergroupoid $\langle G^*, \widehat{\odot} \rangle$ is an H_v -group.*

Theorem 2.15 [4] *If $(\mathcal{G}, \cdot)$ is an HX -group such that*

$$\forall (A, B) \in \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}, \quad A \cap B \neq \emptyset \implies A = B,$$

then $\langle G^, \widehat{\odot} \rangle$ is a hypergroup.*

3. Chinese hyperrings

Theorem 3.1.

- 1) Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a ring and $\mathcal{R} \subset P_0(R)$ additive HX -group i.e. $\mathcal{R}$ is a group with respect to the operation:

$$(\forall A, B \in \mathcal{R}) \quad A + B = \{a + b \mid a \in A, \ b \in B\}.$$

Let $(\mathcal{R}, \cdot)$ be a semigroup with respect to the operation:

$$(\forall A, B \in \mathcal{R}) \quad A \cdot B = \{ab \mid a \in A, \ b \in B\}.$$

On the set $\mathcal{R}^* = \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{R}} A$, we define the operations $\widehat{\oplus}$ and $\widehat{\odot}$:

$$(\forall x, y \in \mathcal{R}^*) \quad x \widehat{\oplus} y = A(x) + A(y) \quad \text{and} \quad x \widehat{\odot} y = A(x) \cdot A(y),$$

where

$$A(x) = \bigcup_{\substack{A \ni x \\ A \in \mathcal{R}}} A.$$

The structure $(\mathcal{R}^*, \widehat{\oplus}, \widehat{\odot})$ is an H_v -ring.

2) If $\mathcal{R} \subset P_0(R)$ beside previous assumptions satisfies the condition:

$$(\forall A, B \in \mathcal{R}) \quad A \cap B \neq \emptyset \implies A = B \quad (**)$$

then the structure $(\mathcal{R}^*, \hat{\oplus}, \hat{\odot})$ is a hyperring. (This hyperring we will call the Chinese hyperring).

Proof. Theorem 2.15 shows that $(\mathcal{R}^*, \hat{\oplus})$ is an H_v -group.

We can show that $(\mathcal{R}^*, \hat{\odot})$ is an H_v -semigroup using the method of P. Corsini

[4]. Indeed, if $z \in x \hat{\odot} y = A(x) \cdot A(y) = \left(\bigcup_{\substack{x \in A \\ A \in \mathcal{R}}} A \right) \cdot \left(\bigcup_{\substack{y \in B \\ B \in \mathcal{R}}} B \right)$, then A, B exist in $\mathcal{R}$ such that $x \in A$, $y \in B$ and $z \in A \cdot B$. Since $(\mathcal{R}, \cdot)$ is a semigroup, it is clear that $A \cdot B \in \mathcal{R}$, i.e., $z \in A \cdot B \subseteq \mathcal{R}^*$.

Let $x, y, z \in \mathcal{R}^*$. Then, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (x \hat{\odot} y) \hat{\odot} z &= (A(x) \cdot A(y)) \hat{\odot} z = \bigcup_{u \in A(x) \cdot A(y)} u \hat{\odot} z \\ &= \bigcup_{u \in A(x) \cdot A(y)} A(u) \cdot A(z) = \bigcup_{\substack{u \in A(x) \cdot A(y) \\ z \in A_3}} A(u) \cdot A_3 \\ &= \bigcup_{\substack{A_u \ni u \in \dot{A}_1 \dot{A}_2 \\ x \in \dot{A}_1 \cdot y \in \dot{A}_2 \cdot z \in A_3}} A_u \cdot A_3 = (*). \end{aligned}$$

So, we proved: $\forall (A_1, A_2, A_3) \in \mathcal{R}^3$ such that $x \in A_1$, $y \in A_2$, $z \in A_3$, it holds:

$$(*) \supseteq (A_1 A_2) A_3 \quad \text{i.e.} \quad (x \hat{\odot} y) \hat{\odot} z \supseteq (A_1 A_2) A_3.$$

Similarly, we can prove: $\forall (A_1, A_2, A_3) \in \mathcal{R}^3$ such that $x \in A_1$, $y \in A_2$, $z \in A_3$, it holds:

$$x \hat{\odot} (y \hat{\odot} z) \supseteq A_1 (A_2 A_3).$$

Therefore, $(x \hat{\odot} y) \hat{\odot} z \cap x \hat{\odot} (y \hat{\odot} z) \neq \emptyset$, i.e. $(\mathcal{R}^*, \hat{\odot})$ is an H_v -semigroup.

Let us prove now that $(\mathcal{R}^*, \hat{\oplus}, \hat{\odot})$ satisfies the condition

$$(x \hat{\oplus} y) \hat{\odot} z \cap ((x \hat{\odot} z) \hat{\oplus} (y \hat{\odot} z)) \neq \emptyset$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{R}^*$.

Let $x, y, z \in \mathcal{R}^*$. Then we have:

$$L = (x \hat{\oplus} y) \hat{\odot} z = (A(x) + A(y)) \hat{\odot} z = \bigcup_{u \in A(x) + A(y)} u \hat{\odot} z = \bigcup_{\substack{A_u \ni u \in \dot{A}_1 + \dot{A}_2 \\ x \in \dot{A}_1, y \in \dot{A}_2, z \in A_3}} A_u \cdot A_3 = (*).'$$

We can notice that for arbitrary $(A_1, A_2, A_3) \in \mathcal{R}^3$, where $x \in A_1$, $y \in A_2$ and $z \in A_3$, it holds:

$$(*)' \supseteq (A_1 + A_2) \cdot A_3, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad L \supseteq (A_1 + A_2) \cdot A_3. \quad (1)$$

Also we have:

$$D = (x \hat{\odot} z) \hat{\oplus} (y \hat{\odot} z) = (A(x) \cdot A(z)) \hat{\oplus} (A(y) \cdot A(z)) = \bigcup u \hat{\oplus} v,$$

where,

$$u \in A(x) \cdot A(z) = \bigcup_{\substack{x \in A_1 \\ z \in A_3}} A_1 \cdot A_3 \quad \text{and} \quad v \in A(y) \cdot A(z) = \bigcup_{\substack{y \in A_2 \\ z \in A_3}} A_2 \cdot A_3.$$

Thus,

$$D = \bigcup_{\substack{A_u \ni u \in A_1 \cdot A_3, \quad x \in A_1, \quad z \in A_3 \\ A_v \ni v \in A_2 \cdot A_3, \quad y \in A_2, \quad z \in A_3}} A_u + A_v.$$

Therefore, for arbitrary $(A_1, A_2, A_3) \in \mathcal{R}^3$, where $x \in A_1$, $y \in A_2$ and $z \in A_3$, it holds:

$$D \supseteq A_1 \cdot A_3 + A_2 \cdot A_3 \supseteq (A_1 + A_2) \cdot A_3. \quad (2)$$

From (1) and (2), it follows that $L \cap D \neq \emptyset$.

Similarly, we can show that for arbitrary $x, y, z \in \mathcal{R}^*$ it holds:

$$x \hat{\odot} (y \hat{\oplus} z) \cap ((x \hat{\odot} y) \hat{\oplus} (x \hat{\odot} z)) \neq \emptyset.$$

So, we proved that $(\mathcal{R}^*, \hat{\oplus}, \hat{\odot})$ is an H_v -hyperring.

2) It is enough to remark that the condition $(**)$ implies $(\forall x \in \mathcal{R}^*) |\alpha(x)| = 1$, where

$$\alpha(x) = \{A \mid A \in \mathcal{R}, A \ni x\}.$$

Let $(G, \cdot)$ and $(G_1, \circ)$ be two arbitrary groups, and let e be an identity element of $(G, \cdot)$.

Definition 3.2. [3] A multivalued mapping $f : G \rightarrow P_0(G_1) = \{B \subset G_1 \mid B \neq \emptyset\}$ such that:

$$(\forall x, y \in G) \quad f(x \cdot y) = f(x) \circ f(y) = \{a \circ b \mid a \in f(x), b \in f(y)\}$$

is called a *multihomomorphism* of group G into a group G_1 .

It is easy to see, that for arbitrary $x, y \in G$ it holds:

- 1) $f(x) \circ f(y) = f(xy)$
- 2) $(f(x) \circ f(y)) \circ f(z) = f(xyz) = f(x) \circ (f(y) \circ f(z))$
- 3) $f(x) = f(x) \circ f(e) = f(e) \circ f(x)$
- 4) $f(e) = f(x) \circ f(x^{-1}) = f(x^{-1}) \circ f(x).$

Theorem 3.3. [3] *Let f be a multihomomorphism of the group $(G, \cdot)$ into the group $(G_1, \circ)$, such that $f(e)$ is subgroup of G_1 . Then it holds:*

$$(\forall x, y \in G) \quad f(x) \cap f(y) \neq \emptyset \implies f(x) = f(y).$$

We prove this theorem using the theory of HX -groups.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{G}_1 = \{f(x) \mid x \in G\}$. Then it is easy to see that $\mathcal{G}_1$ is an HX -group on G_1 , with identity $E = f(e)$, (e is the identity of G). Since, $E = f(e)$ is subgroup of G_1 , then from Theorem 2.11 it follows that $\mathcal{G}_1$ is a uniform HX -group, i.e, it holds:

$$(f(x))^{(-1)} = (f(x))^{-1} = f(x^{-1}). \quad (*)$$

Now, suppose that $z \in f(x) \cap f(y)$.

From $z \in f(y)$, it follows that $z^{-1} \in (f(y))^{(-1)} \stackrel{(*)}{=} f(y^{-1})$.

Let e_1 be the identity of $(G_1, \circ)$. From

$$e_1 = z \circ z^{-1} \in f(x) \circ f(y^{-1}) = f(xy^{-1}),$$

it follows $f(e) = e_1 \circ f(e) \subseteq f(xy^{-1}) \circ f(e) = f(xy^{-1}e) = f(xy^{-1})$. Therefore,

$$f(e) \subseteq f(xy^{-1}) \quad (1)$$

Similarly,

$$f(e) \subseteq f(yx^{-1}). \quad (2)$$

From (1) and (2) it follows that:

$$f(xy^{-1}) \supseteq f(e) = f(xy^{-1}yx^{-1}) = f(xy^{-1}) \circ f(yx^{-1}) \supseteq f(xy^{-1}) \circ f(e) = f(xy^{-1}),$$

i.e. $f(xy^{-1}) \supseteq f(e) \supseteq f(xy^{-1})$. Thus, $f(xy^{-1}) = f(e)$. Therefore,

$$f(x) = f(xy^{-1}y) = f(xy^{-1})f(y) = f(e)f(y) = f(y).$$

Corollary 3.4. *Let f be a multihomomorphism of the group $(G, \cdot)$ into the group $(G_1, \circ)$ such that $f(e)$ is subgroup of G_1 . Then, for arbitrary $x \in G$ it holds:*

$$f(x) = a \circ f(e) = f(e) \circ a,$$

where a is an arbitrary element of $f(x)$.

Proof. Let $a \in f(x)$. Then:

$$a \circ f(e) \subseteq f(x) \circ f(e) = f(xe) = f(x)$$

and

$$f(e) \circ a \subseteq f(e) \circ f(x) = f(ex) = f(x).$$

Conversely, if $b \in f(x)$, then it holds:

$$b = a \circ (a^{-1} \circ b).$$

Let us prove that $a^{-1} \circ b \in f(e)$. From $a \in f(x)$ it follows that $a^{-1} \in (f(x))^{(-1)} = f(x^{-1})$ and thus $a^{-1} \circ b \in f(x^{-1}) \circ f(x) = f(e)$ and for this reason $b \in a \circ f(e)$.

We proved that $f(x) \subseteq a \circ f(e)$. Therefore, $a \circ f(e) = f(x)$. Similarly, we can prove that $f(e) \circ a = f(x)$.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a ring and $f : R \rightarrow P_0(R)$ a multiendomorphism of the additive group $(R, +)$ such that $f(0)$ is a subgroup of a group $(R, +)$. Let $\mathcal{R}_f = \{f(x) \mid x \in R\}$ and $\mathcal{R}_f^* = \bigcup_{x \in R} f(x)$. If the following condition is valid:*

$$(\forall x, y \in R) \quad (\exists z \in R) \quad f(x) \cdot f(y) = f(z) \quad (1)$$

and if we define on $\mathcal{R}_f^$ the hyperoperations $\hat{\oplus}$ and $\hat{\odot}$ as in Theorem 3.1, then the structure $(\mathcal{R}_f^*, \hat{\oplus}, \hat{\odot})$ is a hyperring.*

Proof. Let us notice that $(\mathcal{R}_f, +)$ is an HX -group on $(R, +)$ and from the condition (1) it follows that $(\mathcal{R}_f, \cdot)$ is a semigroup. Since the multiendomorphism f fulfills the conditions of Theorem 3.3, then for arbitrary $f(x), f(y) \in \mathcal{R}_f$ it holds:

$$f(x) \cap f(y) \neq \emptyset \implies f(x) = f(y).$$

Thus, the family $\mathcal{R}_f \subseteq P_0(R)$ fulfils the conditions of Theorem 3.1 and for that reason $(\mathcal{R}_f^*, \hat{\oplus}, \hat{\odot})$ is a hyperring.

Corollary 3.6. *Let $f : R \rightarrow P_0(R)$ be a multiendomorphism of a ring $(R, +, \cdot)$ such that $f(0)$ is a subgroup of a group $(R, +)$. Then, the structure $(\mathcal{R}_f^*, \hat{\oplus}, \hat{\odot})$ is a hyperring.*

Proof. As for all $x, y \in R$ it holds

$$f(x) \cdot f(y) = f(xy),$$

it is easy to see that the multiendomorphism f fulfils the conditions of the previous theorem.

Corollary 3.7. *Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a ring and $f : R \rightarrow P_0(R)$ multiendomorphism of a group $(R, +)$, such that $f(0)$ is an ideal of a ring R , which satisfies the condition $f(0) \cdot f(0) = f(0)$. If $\bigcup_{x \in R} f(x) = R$, then the structure $(\mathcal{R}_f^* = R, \hat{\oplus}, \hat{\odot})$ is a hyperring.*

Proof. Let $x, y \in R$. From Corollary 3.4, for arbitrary $a \in f(x)$ and $b \in f(y)$, it holds:

$$f(x) = a + f(0) \quad \text{and} \quad f(y) = b + f(0)$$

and thus:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) \cdot f(y) &= (a + f(0))(b + f(0)) = ab + f(0)b + af(0) + f(0) \cdot f(0) \\ &\subseteq ab + f(0) + f(0) + f(0) = ab + f(0). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$ab + f(0) = ab + f(0) \cdot f(0) \subseteq ab + f(0)b + af(0) + f(0) \cdot f(0)$$

since $0 \in a \cdot f(0)$ and $0 \in f(0)b$. Thus, $f(x) \cdot f(y) = ab + f(0)$. From $\bigcup_{x \in R} f(x) = R$, it follows that there exists $z \in R$ such that $ab \in f(z)$, and by Corollary 3.4, we have:

$$f(z) = ab + f(0).$$

Therefore,

$$f(x) \cdot f(y) = ab + f(0) = f(z).$$

From Theorem 3.5, it follows that $(\mathcal{R}_f^* = R, \widehat{\oplus}, \widehat{\odot})$ is a hyperring.

Example 3.8. Let $(R, +, \cdot) = (Z_6, +_6, \cdot_6)$ and $f : Z_6 \rightarrow P_0(Z_6)$ be a multimapping defined by $f(x) = (3 \cdot_6 x) +_6 A$, where $A = \{0, 2, 4\}$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x + y) &= (3 \cdot_6 (x +_6 y)) +_6 A = (3 \cdot_6 x) +_6 (3 \odot_6 y) +_6 A \\ &= ((3 \cdot_6 x) +_6 A) +_6 ((3 \cdot_6 y) +_6 A) = f(x) +_6 f(y), \end{aligned}$$

since $A +_6 A = A$.

2) $f(0) = A$ and A is obviously an ideal of a ring $(Z_6, +_6, \cdot_6)$.

3) $A \cdot A = A$.

4) $\bigcup_{x \in Z_6} f(x) = Z_6$.

Thus, f satisfied the conditions of the previous corollary. Therefore, the family $\mathcal{R}_f = \{f(x) \mid x \in Z_6\}$ generates the hyperring $(Z_6, \widehat{\oplus}, \widehat{\odot})$.

Generally, if A is an ideal of a ring $(R, +, \cdot)$ such that $A \cdot A = A$, then for arbitrary homomorphism $h : R \rightarrow R$ of additive group $(R, +)$, such that $h(R) + A = R$, there exists a multiendomorphism $f : R \rightarrow P_0(R)$ of a group $(R, +)$, defined by $f(x) = h(x) + A$, that satisfied the conditions of Theorem 3.5.

Theorem 3.9. Let $f : R \rightarrow P_0(R)$ be a multiendomorphism of a ring $(R, +, \cdot)$ such that $f(0)$ is subgroup of a group $(R, +)$. Then, $f(0)$ is an ideal of a ring $(f(R), +, \cdot)$ and there exists a homomorphism $\bar{f}$ of a ring R into the quotient ring $f(R)/f(0)$ such that $f(x) = \bar{f}(x)$ for all $x \in R$. (In a quotient ring $f(R)/f(0)$ we have ordinary operations $\oplus$ and $\odot$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} (a + f(0)) \oplus (b + f(0)) &= a + b + f(0), \\ (a + f(0)) \odot (b + f(0)) &= ab + f(0). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let us prove that $f(R)$ is a subring of a ring $(R, +, \cdot)$. Let $a, b \in f(R)$. Then, there exist $x, y \in R$ such that $a \in f(x)$, $b \in f(y)$. Thus,

$$a + b \in f(x) + f(y) = f(x + y) \subseteq f(R) \quad \text{and} \quad ab \in f(x) \cdot f(y) = f(xy) \subseteq f(R).$$

If $a \in f(x)$, then

$$(-a) \in -(f(x)) \stackrel{Th.2.11}{=} f(-x) \subseteq f(R).$$

Therefore, $f(R)$ is a subring of a ring R .

Let us prove that $f(0)$ is an ideal of a ring $f(R)$. Since $f(0)$ is a subgroup of a group $(f(R), +)$, it is sufficient to notice that for arbitrary $a \in f(R)$ it holds:

$$a \cdot f(0) \subseteq f(R) \cdot f(0) = f(0)$$

and

$$f(0) \cdot a \subseteq f(0) \cdot f(R) = f(0).$$

As by the Theorem 3.3 for arbitrary $x, y \in R$ it holds $f(x) \cap f(y) \neq \emptyset \implies f(x) = f(y)$, we have that the mapping $\bar{f} : R \rightarrow f(R)/f(0)$ defined by:

$$\bar{f}(x) = f(x)$$

is well defined. (It is clear that, for arbitrary $x \in R$, from Corollary 3.4 it holds $f(x) = a + f(0)$ for arbitrary $a \in f(x) \subseteq f(R)$ and, therefore, $f(x) \in f(R)/f(0)$).

Let us prove that $\bar{f}$ is a homomorphism. Let $x, y \in R$. Then it holds:

$$\bar{f}(x + y) = f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y) = (*)$$

Let $a \in f(x)$ and $b \in f(y)$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} (*) &= (a + f(0)) + (b + f(0)) = a + b + f(0) = (a + f(0)) \oplus (b + f(0)) \\ &= f(x) \oplus f(y) = \bar{f}(x) \oplus \bar{f}(y). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\bar{f}(x + y) = \bar{f}(x) \oplus \bar{f}(y)$.

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{f}(xy) &= f(xy) = f(x) \cdot f(y) = (a + f(0)) \cdot (b + f(0)) \\ &= ab + f(0) \cdot b + a \cdot f(0) + f(0) \cdot f(0) \\ &= ab + f(0) \cdot b + a \cdot f(0) + f(0 \cdot 0) \\ &= ab + f(0) \cdot b + a \cdot f(0) + f(0). \end{aligned}$$

Since, $f(0) \cdot b + a \cdot f(0) \subseteq f(0)$, it holds:

$$f(0) \cdot b + a \cdot f(0) + f(0) = f(0).$$

Therefore,

$$\bar{f}(xy) = ab + f(0) = (a + f(0)) \odot (b + f(0)) = f(x) \odot f(y) = \bar{f}(x) \odot \bar{f}(y).$$

Theorem 3.10. *Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a ring and let $f : R \rightarrow P_0(R)$ be a multiendomorphism of a group $(R, +)$ such that $f(0)$ is an ideal of a ring R which satisfies the conditions:*

$$1) f(0) \cdot f(0) = f(0)$$

$$2) \bigcup_{x \in R} f(x) = R.$$

Then the family $\mathcal{R}_f = \{f(x) \mid x \in R\}$ generates the hyperring $(R_f^* = R, \widehat{\oplus}, \widehat{\odot})$ and the mapping $\Phi : R \rightarrow P_0(R)$ defined by $\Phi(x) = x + f(0)$ is a good multihomomorphism of a ring $(R, +, \cdot)$ into a hyperring $(R, \widehat{\oplus}, \widehat{\odot})$.

Proof. Let $x, y \in R$. Then:

$$\Phi(x + y) = x + y + f(0)$$

and

$$\Phi(x) \widehat{\oplus} \Phi(y) = (x + f(0)) \widehat{\oplus} (y + f(0)) = \bigcup_{\substack{u \in x + f(0) \\ v \in y + f(0)}} u \widehat{\oplus} v = x + y + f(0).$$

Indeed, as $\bigcup_{z \in R} f(z) = R$, it follows by Theorem 3.3 that there exists only one element $f(a)$ of the family $\mathcal{R}_f = \{f(z) \mid z \in R\}$ such that $x \in f(a)$ and, by Corollary 3.4, it holds $f(a) = x + f(0)$. Similarly, there exists only one element $f(b)$ of the family $\mathcal{R}_f$ such that $f(b) = y + f(0)$. Thus, for arbitrary $u \in f(a)$ and $v \in f(b)$, we have

$$u \widehat{\oplus} v = \bigcup_{\substack{u \in f(a') \\ v \in f(b')}} f(a') + f(b') = f(a) + f(b) = x + y + f(0).$$

Similarly,

$$\Phi(xy) = xy + f(0),$$

and

$$\Phi(x) \widehat{\odot} \Phi(y) = \bigcup_{\substack{u \in x + f(0) \\ v \in y + f(0)}} u \widehat{\odot} v \stackrel{(**)}{=} \bigcup_{\substack{u \in x + f(0) \\ v \in y + f(0)}} uv + f(0) \stackrel{(***)}{=} xy + f(0).$$

The equality $(**)$ is valid since, for $u \in x + f(0) = f(a)$ and $v \in y + f(0) = f(b)$, it holds:

$$\begin{aligned} u \widehat{\odot} v &= \bigcup_{\substack{u \in f(a') \\ v \in f(b')}} f(a') \cdot f(b') = f(a) \cdot f(b) \\ &= (u + f(0))(v + f(0)) \\ &= uv + f(0) \cdot v + u \cdot f(0) + f(0) \cdot f(0) \\ &= uv + f(0) \cdot v + u \cdot f(0) + f(0) \\ &= uv + f(0). \end{aligned}$$

The equality $(***)$ is valid since $x \in x + f(0)$, $y \in y + f(0)$, and thus:

$$xy + f(0) \subseteq \bigcup_{\substack{u \in x + f(0) \\ v \in y + f(0)}} uv + f(0),$$

while, for arbitrary $u \in x + f(0)$ and $v \in y + f(0)$, it holds:

$$u + f(0) = x + f(0) \quad \text{and} \quad v + f(0) = y + f(0)$$

and thus:

$$uv + f(0) = (u + f(0))(v + f(0)) = (x + f(0))(y + f(0)) = xy + f(0).$$

References

- [1] CORSINI, P., *Prolegomena of Hypergroup Theory*, Aviani Editore, 1993.
- [2] CHENGYI, Z., PINGAN, D., *Group action and HX-group*, Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 11 (2002), 103-107.
- [3] DACIC, R., MILIC S., *On Multihomomorphisms*, Publication de l'Institut Mathématique, Nouvelle série, tome 12, 26, (1971), 31-35.
- [4] CORSINI, P. *On Chinese Hyperstructures*, Proceedings of the Seventh Congress of AHA, Taormina, 1999, Journal of Discrete Mathematics
- [5] VOUGIOUKLIS, T. *Hyperstructures and their representations*, Hadronic Press, Inc., 115, Palm Harbor, USA (1994).

Accepted: 15.07.2009