FROM AUTOMATION TO AUGMENTATION: NAVIGATING THE INTERSECTIONS OF HUMAN INTELLECT AND ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE

Editorial of the International Journal of Economic Behavior, Vol. 15

Since the pivotal year 2022, marked by the public release of transformative AI models like ChatGPT, Artificial Intelligence (AI) has rapidly evolved from a specialized technological domain into a pervasive socio-technical phenomenon. Its widespread proliferation across diverse economic sectors is fundamentally reshaping how organizations operate and execute labor, generating both enthusiasm and anxiety. AI challenges long-established notions of human labor, expertise, and accountability.

Mirroring how digitalization blurred the lines between real-world interactions and digital experiences in consumer behavior, the academic debate surrounding AI integration in the workplace has undergone a significant transformation. Early research often focused on deterministic technological automation, predicting that AI systems would progressively replace human labor in both routine and cognitive domains (King et al., 2017; Su et al., 2021; Willcocks, 2020). However, contemporary investigation has gradually shifted towards a more nuanced understanding that emphasizes complementarity rather than wholesale substitution (Hemmer et al., 2025; Raisch & Fomina, 2025; Liang et al., 2025).

The Paradigm of Human-AI Complementarity. The concept of "human-AI complementarity" reflects a necessary theoretical and practical reorientation in the study of work and technology (Gonzalez & Heidari, 2025). This paradigm proposes a shift in the prevailing perception of AI: it is no longer viewed as a disruptive replacement for human skills, but rather as a collaborator or tool capable of augmenting human performance, assisting with decision-making, and relieving workers from repetitive or low-value tasks (Buchbinder, 2024; Tariq, 2025; Zhang et al., 2025).

Within this emerging framework, the central question is no longer whether AI will replace human labor, but rather how human and AI capabilities can be integrated to produce value while preserving ethical oversight and autonomy.

This model recognizes the distinct strengths each party brings to the collaboration. AI systems demonstrably excel in terms of speed, scalability, and data-driven pattern recognition (Bouzid et al., 2024; Vishwakarma, 2025). Conversely, humans contribute essential elements to the decision-making process, such as contextual judgment, ethical reasoning, empathy, and creative problem-solving (Al-Zahrani, 2024; Gupta, 2025). When these two distinct capabilities are effectively integrated, they can achieve a higher collective performance than either party operating in isolation. This transition is

International Journal of Economic Behavior, vol. 15 n. 1, 2025, 1-4
https://doi.org/10.14276/2285-0430.5945

particularly critical in knowledge-intensive organizations, where cognitive, relational, and creative dimensions of work are prevalent.

AI Literacy as the Key to Responsible Collaboration. It is crucial to recognize that successful complementarity does not occur inherently. Its success is contingent upon two primary factors: appropriate organizational design (Öztürk, 2021; Shrestha et al., 2025) and AI individual literacy (Li & Kim, 2024; Schiavo et al., 2024).

At the corporate level, organizations must develop clear processes that delineate the areas in which AI should support decision-making and the areas in which human authority must ultimately prevail (Öztürk, 2021; Shrestha et al., 2025).

At the personal level, AI literacy – defined as the set of competencies required to understand and engage effectively with AI-powered systems (Ng et al., 2021) – has emerged as a critical factor for responsible adoption (Li & Kim, 2024; Schiavo et al., 2024; Annapureddy et al., 2025). This literacy extends well beyond mere technical competence, requiring the capacity to interpret algorithmic outputs critically, recognize their probabilistic nature, and maintain accountability for ultimate decision-making (Kong et al., 2023).

AI literacy acts as a kind of "intangible asset" that mediates between innovation and responsibility. Without this necessary awareness, there is a risk that AI tools will be either underutilized due to mistrust or anxiety, or over-trusted, leading to an uncritical reliance on algorithmic outputs (Malin et al., 2024; Sachan et al., 2024). Conversely, those with higher levels of literacy are more likely to recognize AI's potential to streamline administrative tasks while acknowledging its limitations and ethical risks (Li & Kim, 2024; Schiavo et al., 2024). Consequently, corporate training programs that enhance employees' AI literacy are increasingly recognized as strategic levers for achieving sustainable and ethical adoption (Morandini et al., 2023).

The Context of Knowledge-Intensive Work. Higher education institutions (HEIs) are particularly complex environments in which to study this human-AI dynamic, as they combine administrative complexity with intellectual autonomy (Kayyali, 2025; Spalanzani & Zouaghi, 2025).

In universities, administrative tasks often involve repetitive documentation and compliance, making them suitable candidates for automation. However, academic and managerial functions rely heavily on critical judgment, communication, and coordination, areas in which human expertise remains indispensable (Spalanzani & Zouaghi, 2025). Therefore, the relationship between humans and AI must be addressed at multiple levels:

Strategic Level: HEIs must define clear policies regulating AI usage, ensuring automation aligns with ethical and legal standards (Slimi & Carballido, 2023).

Operational Level: Staff must be supported in acquiring the skills necessary to integrate AI tools into workflows (Morandini et al., 2023).

Cultural Level: Organizations must foster trust in technological systems while reinforcing human accountability (Chan, 2023).

These dimensions collectively influence whether AI is perceived as an empowering ally or a source of alienation.

In conclusion, the widespread adoption of AI necessitates a fundamental shift from automation fears to a focus on human-AI complementarity (Gonzalez & Heidari, 2025; Hemmer et al., 2025). The future sustainable adoption of AI depends critically on social and organizational readiness (Öztürk, 2021; Shrestha et al., 2025) and the institutionalization of robust AI literacy programs (Ng et al., 2021; Li & Kim, 2024). The

goal is to transform technology into a catalyst for human development and augmentation, ensuring that ethical reflection evolves in tandem with technological capability.

References

1. Al-Zahrani, A. M. (2024). Balancing act: Exploring the interplay between hu-man judgment and artificial intelligence in problem-solving, creativity, and decision-making. *Igmin research, 2*(3), 145-158.
2. Annapureddy, R., Fornaroli, A., & Gatica-Perez, D. (2025). Generative AI literacy: Twelve defining competencies. *Digital Government: Research and Practice, 6*(1), 1-21.
3. Bouzid, A., Narciso, P., & Ma, W. (2024). *Generative AI for Executives: A Strategic Roadmap for Your Organization*. Springer Nature.
4. Buchbinder, M. (2024). *The AI Agent Mandate: Reimagining Work in the Age of AI*. Greenleaf Book Group.
5. Chan, C. K. Y. (2023). A comprehensive AI policy education framework for university teaching and learning. *International journal of educational technology in higher education, 20*(1), 38.
6. Gonzalez, C., & Heidari, H. (2025). A cognitive approach to human–AI complementarity in dynamic decision-making. *Nature Reviews Psychology*, 1-15.
7. Gupta, N. (2025). The Rise of AI Copilots: Redefining Human-Machine Collaboration in Knowledge Work. *International Journal of Humanities and Information Technology, 7*(03).
8. Hemmer, P., Schemmer, M., Kühl, N., Vössing, M., & Satzger, G. (2025). Complementarity in human-AI collaboration: Concept, sources, and evidence. *European Journal of Information Systems*, 1-24.
9. Kayyali, M. (2025). AI in Higher Education: Revolutionizing Curriculum and Administration. In *AI Adoption and Diffusion in Education* (pp. 31-62). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.
10. King, B. A., Hammond, T., & Harrington, J. (2017). Disruptive technology: Economic consequences of artificial intelligence and the robotics revolution. *Journal of Strategic Innovation and Sustainability, 12*(2), 53-67.
11. Kong, S. C., Cheung, W. M. Y., & Zhang, G. (2023). Evaluating an artificial intelligence literacy programme for developing university students' conceptual understanding, literacy, empowerment and ethical awareness. *Educational Technology & Society, 26*(1), 16-30.
12. Li, H., & Kim, S. (2024). Developing AI literacy in HRD: Competencies, approaches, and implications. *Human Resource Development International, 27*(3), 345-366.
13. Liang, H., Liu, J., Guo, B., & Yu, Z. (2025, September). A Complementarity-Enhanced Mixture of Human-AI Teams for Decision-Making. In *Joint Euro-pean Conference on Machine Learning and Knowledge Discovery in Databases* (pp. 278-293). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.
14. Malin, C. D., Fleiß, J., Seeber, I., Kubicek, B., Kupfer, C., & Thalmann, S. (2024). The application of AI in digital HRM–an experiment on human decision-making in personnel selection. *Business Process Management Journal, 30*(8), 284-312.
15. Morandini, S., Fraboni, F., De Angelis, M., Puzzo, G., Giusino, D., & Pietrantoni, L. (2023). The impact of artificial intelligence on workers' skills: Up-skilling and reskilling in organisations. *Informing Science, 26*, 39-68.

16. Ng, D. T. K., Leung, J. K. L., Chu, S. K. W., & Qiao, M. S. (2021). Conceptualizing AI literacy: An exploratory review. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence, 2*, 100041.
17. Öztürk, D. (2021). What does artificial intelligence mean for organizations? A systematic review of organization studies research and a way forward. *The Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Governance, Economics and Finance, Volume I*, 265-289.
18. Raisch, S., & Fomina, K. (2025). Combining human and artificial intelligence: Hybrid problem-solving in organizations. *Academy of Management Review, 50*(2), 441-464.
19. Sachan, V. S., Katiyar, A., Somashekher, C., Chauhan, A. S., & Bhima, C. K. (2024). The role of artificial intelligence in HRM: opportunities, challenges, and ethical considerations. *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice, 30*(4), 7427-7435.
20. Schiavo, G., Businaro, S., & Zancanaro, M. (2024). Comprehension, apprehension, and acceptance: Understanding the influence of literacy and anxiety on acceptance of artificial Intelligence. *Technology in Society, 77*, 102537.
21. Shrestha, Y. R., Buechsenschuss, R., & Tinguely, P. N. (2025). Leveraging AI-Enabled People Analytics for Designing Organizations. In *Artificial Intelligence, Entrepreneurship and Risk: Reflections and Positions at the Cross-roads between Philosophy and Management* (pp. 333-352). Wiesbaden: Springer Fachmedien Wiesbaden.
22. Slimi, Z., & Carballido, B. V. (2023). Navigating the Ethical Challenges of Artificial Intelligence in Higher Education: An Analysis of Seven Global AI Ethics Policies. *TEM journal, 12*(2).
23. Spalanzani, A., & Zouaghi, I. (2025). Navigating the academic landscape: understanding university dynamics, challenges, and strategic responses. *Journal of Management and Business Education, 8*(2), 168-195.
24. Su, Z., Togay, G., & Côté, A. M. (2021). Artificial intelligence: a destructive and yet creative force in the skilled labour market. *Human Resource Development International, 24*(3), 341-352.
25. Tariq, M. U. (2025). AI-Powered Business Process Automation: Revolutionizing Firm Management. In *AI, Economic Perspectives, and Firm Business Management* (pp. 199-224). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.
26. Vishwakarma, S. K. (2025). AI-Driven Predictive Risk Modelling for Aero-space Supply Chains. *International Interdisciplinary Business Economics Advancement Journal, 6*(05), 102-134.
27. Willcocks, L. (2020). Robo-Apocalypse cancelled? Reframing the automation and future of work debate. *Journal of Information Technology, 35*(4), 286-302.
28. Zhang, W., Yu, Y., & Dai, S. (2025). AI-enabled workforce: performance improvements in public sector tasks of varying innovative work behavior. *Public Management Review*, 1-36.